CHINA SERIES · BOOK TWO

The Living Sage

Confucius for China, America, and Europe

by Robert Miller

Free Sample · Chapter One

China Series · Book Two · Available January 22, 2027

International Publishing Corporation

Washington, D.C.

CHAPTER 1

Ren: The Practice of Humaneness

When disciples asked about ren, Confucius gave different answers: to love others; to overcome the self and return to ritual; reciprocity—"what you do not wish for yourself, do not do to others." Ren is not a definition. It is a capacity that appears in action.

> 樊遲問仁。子曰：「愛人。」
>
> Fán Chí wèn rén. Zǐ yuē: "Ài rén."
>
> "Fan Chi asked about ren. The Master said, 'It is to love others.'"
>
> — Analects 12.22

> 顏淵問仁。子曰：「克己復禮為仁。」
>
> Yán Yuān wèn rén. Zǐ yuē: "Kè jǐ fù lǐ wéi rén."
>
> "Yan Yuan asked about ren. The Master said, 'To overcome the self and return to ritual is ren.'"
>
> — Analects 12.1

The first answer is affective. The second is disciplinary. The third is practical. Together they describe cultivated responsiveness. In the training hall this appears as the difference between a partner who simply executes techniques and one who adjusts power and intention so both can train safely.

Why Confucius Would Not Define Ren

Western philosophy, from Socrates onward, has often begun with the question "What is it?" What is justice? What is courage? What is piety? Socrates pressed his partners in conversation for a definition that would cover every case and exclude every counterfeit, and he usually showed that their definitions failed. The Analects proceed differently. Confucius's disciples ask about ren again and again, and the Master never gives the same answer twice. He does not seem troubled by this. He seems to regard it as the right way to teach.

The reason becomes clear when we notice who is asking. Yan Hui, to whom Confucius gave the most demanding answer—overcome the self and return to ritual—was his most accomplished student, a man the Master said could go three months without his heart departing from ren (6.7). He needed the highest standard. Fan Chi, to whom Confucius gave the simplest answer—love others—appears elsewhere in the Analects as a slow and practical man who once asked to be taught farming and was gently dismissed (13.4). He needed the root of the matter. Sima Niu, who was known for talking too much, was told that "the person of ren is slow to speak" (仁者其言也訒, 12.3). When he objected that this seemed too easy, Confucius replied: "When doing it is difficult, how can one not be slow to speak of it?"

Each answer, in other words, is a correction aimed at a particular person. The teacher sees where the student is out of balance and pushes him toward the center. This is how a good instructor teaches a physical art. One student is told to relax; another, performing the same technique, is told to commit more strength. One is told to slow down; another to stop hesitating. A spectator who heard only the corrections might conclude that the instructor contradicts himself. A student who has trained under him knows that all the corrections aim at a single target: the technique performed rightly, by this body, today.

Ren, then, is not a rule but a target, and the target is a kind of person. The Analects give us many angles on that person.

> 子張問仁於孔子。孔子曰：「能行五者於天下，為仁矣。」請問之。曰：「恭、寬、信、敏、惠。恭則不侮，寬則得眾，信則人任焉，敏則有功，惠則足以使人。」
>
> "Zizhang asked Confucius about ren. Confucius said, 'One who can practice five things everywhere under Heaven is ren.' Asked what they were, he said: 'Respectfulness, generosity, trustworthiness, diligence, and kindness. If you are respectful, you will not be insulted. If you are generous, you will win the people. If you are trustworthy, others will rely on you. If you are diligent, you will achieve results. If you are kind, you will be able to employ others.'"
>
> — Analects 17.6
>
> 樊遲問仁。子曰：「居處恭，執事敬，與人忠。雖之夷狄，不可棄也。」
>
> "Fan Chi asked about ren. The Master said: 'In private life be reverent; in handling affairs be respectful; in dealing with others be loyal. Even if you go among the barbarian tribes, these may not be abandoned.'"
>
> — Analects 13.19

The last clause of 13.19 is worth noting. Confucius, who is sometimes accused of cultural chauvinism, here insists that ren travels. It is not a set of local customs that holds good only in the central states of Zhou. It is a way of being with others that remains binding wherever one goes. This is one reason the Analects have proved so portable across languages and civilizations.

The Shape of a Person of Ren

If we gather the passages, a portrait emerges. The person of ren is respectful toward others and serious about the tasks in front of him. He is slow to speak and quick to act: "The junzi wishes to be hesitant in speech and quick in action" (君子欲訥於言而敏於行，4.24). He distrusts smooth talk: "Clever words and an ingratiating face—seldom are they ren" (巧言令色，鮮矣仁, 1.3). He is "firm, resolute, simple, and slow of speech," and these qualities, Confucius says, are "close to ren" (剛、毅、木、訥近仁, 13.27). He puts the difficult work first and the reward afterward (仁者先難而後獲, 6.22).

He also has a characteristic emotional tone. "The wise are not perplexed, the person of ren is not anxious, the courageous are not afraid" (知者不惑，仁者不憂，勇者不懼，9.29). This freedom from anxiety is not indifference. It is the steadiness of someone whose sense of worth does not depend on outcomes he cannot control. Confucius traveled for fourteen years without finding a ruler to employ him, and the Analects record his frustration, but they also record his refusal to be destroyed by it. When his followers were starving in Chen and Zilu asked bitterly whether a junzi, too, could be reduced to such straits, Confucius answered: "The junzi holds firm in adversity. The small person, in adversity, loses all restraint" (君子固窮，小人窮斯濫矣, 15.2).

Anyone who has competed, tested for rank, or simply trained through a bad season knows the difference between these two responses to difficulty. One person, frustrated, becomes careless and blames others. Another becomes quieter and more exact. The second is not suppressing frustration; he has learned to let it pass through him without taking control of his hands. Confucius saw this steadiness as a mark of ren, and he regarded it as achievable.

> 子曰：「仁遠乎哉？我欲仁，斯仁至矣。」
>
> Zǐ yuē: "Rén yuǎn hū zāi? Wǒ yù rén, sī rén zhì yǐ."

> "The Master said: 'Is ren far away? If I desire ren, here ren arrives.'"
>
> — Analects 7.30

This is one of the most encouraging sentences in the Analects, and it must be read beside one of the most demanding.

> 曾子曰：「士不可以不弘毅，任重而道遠。仁以為己任，不亦重乎？死而後已，不亦遠乎？」
>
> "Master Zeng said: 'A scholar-official must be broad and resolute, for his burden is heavy and his road is long. He takes ren as his burden—is that not heavy? Only with death does it end—is that not long?'"
>
> — Analects 8.7

Ren is near, because it begins with the next choice one makes, and it is far, because one never finishes making such choices. Both sentences are true at once. The martial student who has just earned a black belt learns this in a single afternoon: the belt marks real achievement, and it also marks the beginning of a study that will not end. The Korean and Japanese traditions both call the first black-belt rank "first step" (초단 chodan / 初段 shodan), and the name is not false modesty. Confucius himself said that only at seventy could he follow his heart's desire without overstepping the bounds (2.4). Ren is a lifelong practice whose first step is always available.

Love Without Sentimentality

Confucian love is graded. One begins with family and extends outward. Treating parent and stranger with identical intensity would be a failure of discrimination. The same tradition insists that the person who cannot order his own household will not order the state. Near relationships must themselves be just. Rank is respected, but the instructor who uses rank only to dominate has already left the path of ren.

The passage that grounds this ordering appears near the very beginning of the Analects, in the words of the disciple Master You:

> 有子曰：「其為人也孝弟，而好犯上者，鮮矣；不好犯上，而好作亂者，未之有也。君子務本，本立而道生。孝弟也者，其為仁之本與！」
>
> "Master You said: 'Few who are filial to their parents and respectful to their elder brothers are fond of defying their superiors, and none who dislike defying their superiors are fond of stirring up rebellion. The junzi attends to the root; when the root is established, the Way grows. Filial devotion and brotherly respect—are these not the root of ren?'"
>
> — Analects 1.2

The image is botanical. Ren is a tree, and its root is in the family. One learns to care for others first by being cared for and by caring in return within the household. That early learning then grows outward, toward neighbors, colleagues, fellow citizens, strangers. The later Confucian Mencius would describe the process as "extending" (推 tuī) one's natural feelings: "Treat the aged of your own family as they deserve, and extend this to the aged of other families; treat the young of your own family as they deserve, and extend this to the young of other families" (Mencius 1A7).

This graded love was controversial from the beginning. The philosopher Mozi (墨子, fifth century BCE) argued that partiality is the root of the world's disorders: people care for their own families and states and therefore harm others. He taught "impartial care" (兼愛 jiān'ài), caring for others' families as one's own. Mencius answered that Mozi's doctrine amounted to "not acknowledging one's father" (無父 wú fù, Mencius 3B9), because it denied the special bond that makes love possible in the first place. The debate between them anticipates modern arguments between impartial ethical theories, which hold that each person's interests count equally, and relational theories, which hold that special obligations to family and friends are morally basic.

Confucian graded love is not, however, a license for nepotism or tribalism. The ordering is a matter of where love begins and how it is learned, not a ceiling on how far it extends. The Analects say that "within the four seas, all are brothers" (四海之內，皆兄弟也, 12.5)—words spoken by the disciple Zixia to console Sima Niu, who had lamented having no brothers. And love, in the Confucian sense, includes judgment. "Only the person of ren can truly love others and can truly dislike others" (唯仁者能好人，能惡人, 4.3). Love without discrimination is not ren but sentimentality, which approves of everything because it attends to nothing.

The same realism appears in Confucius's answer to a famous question.

> 或曰：「以德報怨，何如？」子曰：「何以報德？以直報怨，以德報德。」
>
> "Someone said: 'What do you think of repaying injury with kindness?' The Master said: 'Then with what would you repay kindness? Repay injury with uprightness, and repay kindness with kindness.'"
>
> — Analects 14.34

The phrase "repay injury with kindness" (報怨以德) appears in the Daodejing (chapter 63), and the questioner may have had that teaching in mind. Readers formed by the Christian tradition will hear an echo of the command to love one's enemies. Confucius's answer is not a rejection of mercy. It is a refusal to let the categories collapse. If injury and kindness receive the same response, then kindness has lost its meaning. The right response to injury is 直 zhí, "straightness" or "uprightness": a response that is fair, measured, and honest, neither vengeful nor falsely sweet. It is the response of a judge rather than an avenger, and of an instructor who, when a student deliberately strikes a partner with excessive force, neither retaliates nor pretends that nothing has happened, but stops the session, names the fault, and imposes a consequence fitting to it.

Overcoming the Self

"Overcome the self and return to ritual" means restraint of impulses that distort perception. Ritual makes that restraint visible and teachable. Anyone who has trained long enough to receive higher rank knows the difference between performing a form for an audience and performing it because the form has become a means of self-correction.

The full passage deserves to be read.

> 顏淵問仁。子曰：「克己復禮為仁。一日克己復禮，天下歸仁焉。為仁由己，而由人乎哉？」顏淵曰：「請問其目。」子曰：「非禮勿視，非禮勿聽，非禮勿言，非禮勿動。」顏淵曰：「回雖不敏，請事斯語矣。」
>
> "Yan Yuan asked about ren. The Master said: 'To overcome the self and return to ritual is ren. If for a single day one could overcome the self and return to ritual, the whole world would turn to ren. The practice of ren comes from oneself—how could it come from others?' Yan Yuan said: 'May I ask for the specific

> steps?' The Master said: 'Do not look at what is contrary to ritual; do not listen to what is contrary to ritual; do not speak what is contrary to ritual; do not move in a way contrary to ritual.' Yan Yuan said: 'Though I am not quick, I ask to devote myself to these words.'"
>
> — Analects 12.1

Three features of the passage deserve comment. First, the verb 克 kè means to conquer or to master. It is a word with martial overtones; it is used of defeating an enemy or taking a city. The enemy here is the self (己 jǐ)—not the person as such, but the self that insists on its own way, the self of appetite, vanity, and impatience. Confucius elsewhere names four things he was entirely free of: "He had no preconceptions, no insistence on certainty, no obstinacy, and no egoism" (毋意，毋必，毋固，毋我, 9.4). The last of these, 毋我 wú wǒ, "no 'I,'" describes the condition that self-mastery aims at.

Second, the passage says that ren "comes from oneself." The work cannot be done by another. A teacher can show the forms, but only the student can practice them. This emphasis on personal responsibility is one of the most consistent themes of the Analects. "The junzi seeks it in himself; the small person seeks it in others" (君子求諸己，小人求諸人, 15.21).

Third, the specific steps Confucius gives involve the senses and the body: looking, listening, speaking, moving. Self-mastery is not first an intellectual achievement. It begins in where one directs one's eyes, what one allows oneself to hear, what words leave one's mouth, and how one carries oneself. This is why ritual matters so much to Confucius. Ritual is the training of the body and the senses in patterns of respect, and the person who has absorbed those patterns finds that self-mastery has become, to some degree, a habit rather than a constant struggle.

The phrase 克己 kè jǐ lives on in East Asian martial culture. As noted in the Preface, many Taekwondo schools recite self-control (극기 geukgi, the Korean reading of 克己) as one of the tenets of the art. The student who stands in line at the end of class and recites that word is inheriting, perhaps unknowingly, Confucius's answer to his favorite disciple. The tenet is not decorative. In a sparring match, the student who loses self-control—who answers a hard strike with a harder one, who forgets the rules in anger—has not only broken etiquette; he has stopped seeing clearly. His perception has been captured by his impulse. The partner in front of him becomes an enemy, the session becomes a fight, and the possibility of learning disappears. Self-mastery is the condition of perception.

Hapkido teaches the same lesson from a different direction. Its name (合氣道) means "the way of coordinated energy," and among the principles commonly taught in Hapkido schools is 和 (hwa), harmony or non-resistance: one does not meet force with force but blends with it and redirects it. The practitioner who is gripped by the desire to win, to dominate, to punish, cannot blend. He meets force with force because the self insists on it. The technique fails not because the body lacks strength but because the self is in the way. "Overcome the self" turns out to be practical advice.

Reciprocity as Daily Test

The negative golden rule (Analects 15.24) is deliberately modest. It forces a pause. In partner training the test is immediate: if I would not want this level of force applied to me, I do not apply it. Ren includes both restraint and the courage of remonstrance.

> 子貢問曰：「有一言而可以終身行之者乎？」子曰：「其恕乎！己所不欲，勿施於人。」
>
> Zǐgòng wèn yuē: "Yǒu yì yán ér kěyǐ zhōngshēn xíng zhī zhě hū?" Zǐ yuē: "Qí shù hū! Jǐ suǒ bú yù, wù shī yú rén."

> "Zigong asked: 'Is there a single word that one can practice for the whole of one's life?' The Master said: 'Is it not shu, reciprocity? What you do not wish for yourself, do not do to others.'"
>
> — Analects 15.24

The word 恕 shù is composed of 如 rú, "like" or "as," over 心 xīn, "heart-mind." It suggests making one's heart like another's—putting oneself in the other's place. The same maxim appears in Confucius's reply to Zhonggong in 12.2, and a positive counterpart appears in a reply to Zigong:

> 夫仁者，己欲立而立人，己欲達而達人。能近取譬，可謂仁之方也已。
>
> "The person of ren, wishing to establish himself, establishes others; wishing to succeed, helps others to succeed. To be able to take what is near at hand as an analogy—this may be called the method of ren."
>
> — Analects 6.30

The phrase "to take what is near at hand as an analogy" (能近取譬) is the key. Reciprocity begins with what one knows most intimately—one's own desires, fears, and pains—and uses that knowledge to understand others. I know what it is like to be humiliated in front of others; therefore I know not to humiliate. I know what it is like to be helped when I was struggling; therefore I know to help.

The disciple Zengzi summarized the Master's teaching with two terms: "The Way of our Master is loyalty and reciprocity, and nothing more" (夫子之道，忠恕而已矣，4.15). 忠 zhōng, often translated "loyalty," means doing one's utmost for others, wholeheartedly; 恕 shù is the reciprocity that keeps that devotion from becoming self-righteous. One without the other is incomplete. Devotion without

reciprocity becomes the zeal of the person who knows what is good for you better than you do. Reciprocity without devotion becomes a cautious minimalism that avoids harm but does nothing good.

Comparison with other traditions clarifies the point. The great rabbi Hillel, asked by a would-be convert to teach the whole Torah while standing on one foot, is said to have answered: "What is hateful to you, do not do to your fellow. That is the whole Torah; the rest is commentary. Go and learn it" (Babylonian Talmud, Shabbat 31a). Jesus gave the rule in positive form: "Whatever you wish that others would do to you, do also to them, for this is the Law and the Prophets" (Matthew 7:12). Immanuel Kant, by contrast, dismissed the negative golden rule in a footnote to his Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals (1785) as "trivial," unfit to be a universal law because it could not ground duties to oneself or duties of benevolence, and because a criminal could use it to argue against his judge.

Kant's objection has force against a mechanical reading of the rule. If reciprocity meant only "never do to anyone what they would not like," then no judge could sentence, no teacher could correct, and no parent could discipline. But Confucius never presents shu as a standalone algorithm. It operates within a life shaped by ritual, by learning, and by the judgment of the junzi. Its function is to force a pause—to make the agent ask, before acting, how the action will be received by the one who suffers it. The teacher who must correct a student still corrects, but reciprocity governs how: privately rather than publicly where possible, firmly but without contempt, with a path back to good standing. This is the "modesty" of the negative rule. It does not tell us everything we must do. It tells us where to look first.

Confucius himself recognized that reciprocity is harder than it sounds. When Zigong once said, "What I do not wish others to do to me, I also wish not to do to others," the Master answered: "Ci, that is not something you have yet attained" (賜也，非爾所及也, 5.12). It is easy to state the rule and very hard to live it, especially when one is tired, angry, or afraid.

Ren and Courage

Ren includes the courage of remonstrance, and this point is easily missed by those who imagine Confucian humaneness as mere gentleness.

> 子曰：「有德者必有言，有言者不必有德。仁者必有勇，勇者不必有仁。」
>
> "The Master said: 'One who has virtue will certainly have something to say, but one who has something to say does not necessarily have virtue. The person of ren will certainly have courage, but the courageous person does not necessarily have ren.'"
>
> — Analects 14.4

> 子曰：「見義不為，無勇也。」
>
> "The Master said: 'To see what is right and not do it is a lack of courage.'"
>
> — Analects 2.24

The person of ren is courageous because care for others sometimes requires risk. It may require telling a superior that he is wrong, defending someone who is being mistreated, or refusing a profitable but dishonorable opportunity. At the extreme, it may require one's life: "The resolute scholar and the person of ren do not seek life at the expense of ren; there are times when they sacrifice their lives to complete ren" (志士仁人，無求生以害仁，有殺身以成仁, 15.9).

But courage without ren is dangerous, and Confucius was blunt about it. "If a junzi has courage but lacks righteousness, he will create disorder; if a small person has courage but lacks righteousness, he will become a bandit" (君子有勇而無義為亂，小人有勇而無義為盜, 17.23). When the brave Zilu asked whom the Master would take with him if he commanded the armies of a great state, Confucius answered

that he would not take a man who would fight a tiger bare-handed or cross a river without a boat and die without regret. "I would take someone who approaches a task with due apprehension and succeeds by careful planning" (必也臨事而懼，好謀而成者也, 7.11).

Every martial instructor has met both kinds of student. One is fearless and reckless, eager to prove himself, careless of his partners and of himself. The other is cautious, attentive, and prepared, and when real courage is needed he has it, precisely because he has not wasted it on display. The tradition is unanimous that the second is the better martial artist. The martial arts exist, at their best, to make strength serve ren rather than replace it.

Not a Utensil

> 子曰：「君子不器。」
>
> Zǐ yuē: "Jūnzǐ bú qì."
>
> "The Master said: 'The noble person is not a utensil.'"
>
> — Analects 2.12

A utensil has a single function. The person of ren is not reduced to a function. The same holds for the martial artist who refuses to be reduced to a collection of techniques.

The word 器 qì refers to a vessel or implement—a cup, a bowl, a ritual bronze—something made for a specific purpose. Confucius did not despise utensils; ritual vessels were among the most precious objects in his civilization, and he once told Zigong that he was like a 瑚璉 húliǎn, a precious sacrificial vessel (5.4). But a vessel, however precious, is defined by its use. A person of ren is defined by a capacity for responsiveness that cannot be reduced to any single use.

The contemporary relevance is obvious. Modern economies reward specialization, and specialization is not bad. But a society in which people understand themselves only as functions—the engineer, the

salesperson, the manager, the user—loses the capacity to ask whether the functions serve human ends. The Confucian insistence that a person is more than a utensil is a reminder that every role is held by a human being who remains responsible for how the role is performed and whether it should be performed at all.

Dwelling in Ren

The Analects speak of ren not only as an action but as a place one lives. Book 4 opens with a short saying:

> 子曰：「里仁為美。擇不處仁，焉得知？」
>
> "The Master said: 'It is ren that makes a neighborhood beautiful. If one chooses not to dwell in ren, how can one be called wise?'"
>
> — Analects 4.1

The saying can be read literally, as advice about choosing where to live, and many traditional readers took it that way: settle among good people, because their habits will become yours. It can also be read figuratively: ren is a dwelling, and the wise person takes up residence in it. Both readings point to the same truth. Character is formed by environment, and the environment that most powerfully forms character is the company one keeps and the habits one makes one's home.

The next passage draws a distinction that will be important throughout this book.

> 子曰：「不仁者不可以久處約，不可以長處樂。仁者安仁，知者利仁。」
>
> "The Master said: 'One who is not ren cannot long endure hardship, nor can he long enjoy happiness. The person of ren is at ease in ren; the wise person finds ren advantageous.'"

— Analects 4.2

There are two ways of relating to ren. The wise person sees that humaneness is beneficial—that it builds trust, avoids conflict, and makes cooperation possible—and practices it for those reasons. The person of ren is simply at home in it; it has become his nature. Confucius does not despise the first relationship. It is better to practice ren because it is advantageous than not to practice it at all, and many people begin that way. But the first relationship is unstable. When ren stops being advantageous, the merely wise person may abandon it. Only the one who has made ren his dwelling can be relied upon in hardship and in prosperity alike.

Martial students follow the same trajectory. A beginner bows to his instructor because he has been told to, and later because he sees that courtesy makes the training hall a safer and better place to learn. Years later, if the training has done its work, he bows because it has become the natural expression of his respect, and he would feel something amiss if he failed to do so. The external form has become an internal disposition. Confucius describes this in the strongest terms in a passage on wealth and rank:

> 子曰：「富與貴，是人之所欲也；不以其道得之，不處也。貧與賤，是人之所惡也；不以其道得之，不去也。君子去仁，惡乎成名？君子無終食之間違仁，造次必於是，顛沛必於是。」
>
> "The Master said: 'Wealth and honor are what people desire, but if they cannot be had in the right way, they should not be kept. Poverty and low station are what people hate, but if they cannot be escaped in the right way, they should not be escaped. If the junzi abandons ren, how can he make a name? The junzi does not depart from ren even for the space of a meal. In haste and confusion he holds to it; in danger and distress he holds to it.'"
>
> — Analects 4.5

"In haste and confusion... in danger and distress." The test of whether ren has become one's dwelling is what happens under pressure. Anyone can be courteous in a calm hour. The question is whether courtesy holds when one is rushed, frightened, or provoked. Martial training is, among other things, a systematic way of exposing practitioners to small doses of pressure—a faster partner, a harder exchange, an unexpected attack in a drill—so that they discover whether their discipline holds. It often does not, at first. The point of training is that over time it does.

Ren and Feeling: The Child at the Well

A natural question arises at this point. Is ren a feeling—compassion, sympathy, love—or is it a discipline that governs feeling? The Analects give both answers, and the later tradition developed each.

Mencius, the great interpreter who lived about a century after Confucius, grounded ren in a feeling he believed every human being possesses. In one of the most famous passages in Chinese philosophy, he asks the reader to imagine someone who suddenly sees a small child about to fall into a well. Anyone in that situation, Mencius says, would feel alarm and compassion—not because he hoped to win the parents' friendship, nor to earn the praise of neighbors, nor because he disliked the sound of the child's cries. The feeling arises spontaneously. "The heart of compassion is the sprout of ren" (惻隱之心, 仁之端也, Mencius 2A6). Ren, on this view, is the cultivation of a natural sprout until it becomes a mature plant.

Xunzi, writing a generation or two later, took the opposite view. Human nature left to itself, he argued, tends toward selfishness and conflict; goodness is the product of deliberate effort (偽 wěi), of ritual and teaching applied over a lifetime as a craftsman straightens warped wood. Ren, on this view, is less a sprout than an achievement of artifice—in the honorable sense of the word.

The Analects stand behind both readings without fully committing to either. Confucius said only that "by nature people are close to one another; through practice they grow far apart" (性相近也，習相遠也, 17.2). He did not say whether the shared nature was good or bad. What he did say, again and again, was that practice makes the difference. This is the point that matters for most readers. Whether we begin with a sprout of compassion that must be nourished or with a crooked timber that must be straightened, the path forward is the same: practice under correction, in the company of others, over a long time.

Readers formed by Western traditions may find it useful to compare this with the distinctions their own languages make among kinds of love. Greek writers distinguished erōs, desire; philia, the love of friends and family; and agapē, the self-giving love that Christian writers made central. Confucian ren overlaps with all three without being identical to any. It is closest to philia in its rootedness in particular relationships, closest to agapē in its aspiration to extend outward to all under Heaven, and furthest from erōs, which Confucius regarded with some wariness: "I have never seen anyone who loves virtue as much as he loves beauty" (9.18). What distinguishes ren is its insistence that love is not finished as a feeling. It must be given form.

Ren in Three Societies: Illustrative Cases

The following cases are composites, drawn from situations common in contemporary life rather than from particular events or persons. They illustrate how the questions of ren arise in ordinary settings.

A team leader in a Chinese technology firm. Consider a mid-level manager in a fast-growing company in one of China's southern technology hubs, where long hours are standard and promotion depends on meeting aggressive targets. She inherits a team in which one engineer is falling behind because he is caring for a seriously ill parent. The organization's metrics say the engineer should be

replaced. The tradition of xiao says his devotion to his parent is admirable. The manager's own career depends on her team's numbers. Ren does not dissolve the dilemma; it clarifies it. It asks her to "take what is near at hand as an analogy"—to imagine her own parent in that hospital bed—and to seek a response that is both humane and honest. That may mean redistributing work, negotiating a temporary arrangement, and speaking candidly with her own superiors, rather than either silently sacrificing the engineer or silently absorbing the cost herself. The capacity to find such responses is what Confucius called ren, and it cannot be supplied by a policy manual.

A nurse in an American hospital. Consider an experienced nurse on a busy ward in a large American city. Her hospital has adopted patient-satisfaction scores as a key measure of performance. The scores reward pleasant interactions and quick responses, which are good things. But she notices that the scores also reward telling patients what they want to hear. Confucius's warning that "clever words and an ingratiating face are seldom ren" (1.3) describes her temptation precisely. Ren in her situation is not a smile; it is the harder work of attending to each patient as a person, including telling unwelcome truths gently and well. The negative golden rule supplies a daily test: would she want to be managed with pleasant words while her real condition went unaddressed?

A civil servant in a European ministry. Consider a civil servant in a European capital responsible for processing applications for residence permits. The rules are complex, the caseload heavy, and the applicants anxious. Her task is to apply the law correctly, and the European tradition of the impartial administrator rightly insists on equal treatment. Where does ren enter? Not in bending the rules for applicants she likes—that would violate the uprightness Confucius demanded. It enters in the manner of the work: the courtesy with which applicants are addressed, the clarity of explanations, the willingness to point out a missing document before it causes a

rejection, the refusal to let a stream of cases turn into a stream of objects. Max Weber described modern bureaucracy as operating sine ira et studio, "without anger or partiality." Confucius would agree that the official must be without partiality. He would add that she need not, and should not, be without humaneness.

In each case the Analects do not supply an answer. They supply a question that the person must answer through practice: am I responding to the human being in front of me, or only to the role, the metric, or the rule?

Practicing Ren

Because ren is a practice rather than a doctrine, it may be useful to close this chapter with practices. These are not exercises Confucius prescribed in this form; they are ways of taking up the passages discussed above.

First, the pause of reciprocity. Before a difficult conversation—a correction, a refusal, a negotiation—ask the question of 15.24 explicitly: if I were on the receiving end of what I am about to do, what would I not want? Then remove that element, if it can be removed without abandoning what must be done.

Second, the daily review. Master Zeng said: "Each day I examine myself on three points: In planning for others, have I failed to be loyal? In dealing with friends, have I failed to be trustworthy? Have I passed on what I have not practiced?" (吾日三省吾身：為人謀而不忠乎？與朋友交而不信乎？傳不習乎？, 1.4). The three questions are as usable now as they were in the fifth century BCE. The third is especially searching for teachers, parents, and leaders: have I taught others what I do not myself practice?

Third, the correction of speech. Confucius warned repeatedly against clever words and praised slowness of speech. For one week, notice the gap between what you say you will do and what you do. "The junzi

is ashamed to let his words outrun his deeds" (君子恥其言而過其行, 14.27).

Fourth, the training partner. In whatever setting you work or practice with others, notice whether you adjust your force—literal or figurative—to what your partner can safely receive. The best training partners in any martial art are those whose skill allows them to give exactly the resistance that helps the other person learn. That calibration is ren in miniature.

Ren is a practice one returns to, day after day, in the company of others.

CONTINUE THE JOURNEY

The China Series

Twenty-one volumes. A world of enduring ideas.

Preorder the complete 21-volume China Series at 15% off through the final volume's launch, approximately June 4, 2027.

Book One available January 15, 2027

ipcnews.org · ipc.asia

orders@ipcnews.org

International Publishing Corporation

Washington, D.C.

© 2026 Robert Miller. All rights reserved.