THE METAPHYSICS OF CREATIVE CONSCIOUSNESS · VOLUME III

The Practice of Creative Consciousness

Mental Science, Healing, and the Conscious Direction of Life

by Robert Miller and Michael Cugliandro

Free Sample · Chapter One

The Law of Mind as Metaphysical Fact

International Publishing Corporation

Washington, D.C.

PART ONE

The Principles of Mental Science

Chapter One

The Law of Mind as Metaphysical Fact

An Omnipresent, Eternal, Boundless, and Immutable PRINCIPLE on which all speculation is impossible.

— H. P. Blavatsky, *The Secret Doctrine*, Proem

Principle is not bound by precedent.

— Ernest Holmes, *The Science of Mind*

THE FIRST KEY

You are always creating. The only question is whether you create on purpose.

Gravity does not care whether you believe in it. It does not reward the faithful or punish the skeptic. It simply operates, everywhere and always, with perfect impartiality. The child who trips and the engineer who designs a bridge are subject to the same law. The only difference is that the engineer *knows* the law and works with it on purpose.

The central claim of this chapter is that mind operates the same way.

Not a Technique, but a Consequence

The methods of the Science of Mind are often taught as practical techniques that happen to work, useful tools with somewhat mysterious foundations. This chapter makes a stronger claim. These methods are *necessary consequences* of a coherent metaphysics. Given the premises established in Volume I, they could not fail to exist.

Follow the reasoning:

1. The Absolute is the one ultimate Reality. There is nothing outside it.
2. Universal Mind is the creative aspect of that Reality. It operates through immutable law.
3. The individual mind is not a separate thing standing outside Universal Mind. It is a center *within* it, a particularization, as a wave is a particularization of the ocean.
4. Therefore, the activity of the individual mind is an activity within the creative medium itself.
5. Therefore, thought is causative.

This is not an optional belief to be adopted if it feels comforting. It follows from the premises as surely as a conclusion follows from a sound syllogism. Thought is not an epiphenomenon, a bit of steam rising off the brain. It is a real activity within the only medium that exists: Mind itself.

Karma and the Law of Mind: One Law, Two Names

Holmes described the Law of Mind as the subjective tendency of creative force to take form according to the directives given it. He likened it to soil, which does not argue with the seed but simply grows whatever is planted. Blavatsky described the corresponding principle as karma, the impersonal law of cause and effect that operates on every plane of being, physical, emotional, mental, and spiritual.

Place these two descriptions side by side and something remarkable comes into view. They are not two laws. They are one law, seen from two altitudes. Karma is the law of cause and effect in its widest sweep, across lifetimes, civilizations, and world-cycles. The Law of Mind is *karma specialized to the level of self-conscious thought*. It is the same river, viewed once from a mountaintop and once from its bank.

This has an immediate and sobering implication. Since the Law of Mind *is* karma operating through thought, every thought is a seed with consequences. There is no idle thought, no private grumbling that "doesn't count." The Law does not distinguish between a treatment carefully spoken and a fear carelessly rehearsed. It receives both. It responds to both.

It also carries an implication that is deeply liberating. If karma operates through thought, then thought is where karma can be *redirected*. The past is not a prison. It is momentum, and momentum can be met with new force.

The Impersonality of the Law

Because the Law is impersonal, it requires no belief in a personal deity who grants or withholds requests. This does not make the universe cold. It makes it *trustworthy*. A God who might say yes on Tuesday and no on Wednesday, depending on mood or merit, would be a God to be bargained with and feared. A Law that responds unfailingly to the patterns presented to it is a Law that can be learned, relied upon, and used with confidence.

What the Law requires is not emotional excitement, nor pleading, nor volume. It requires a clear and consistent pattern. And the deepest form of a clear pattern is *realization*: the state in which the practitioner knows, rather than hopes, that the desired condition is already true at the level of cause.

Such knowing is possible only when the metaphysical foundations are in place. One must know that consciousness is primary, that the

individual is not separate from the Universal, and that thought is creative. Hope says, "I wish this were so." Realization says, "This is so, and its appearance is a matter of time and law." The difference between them is the difference between a man shouting at a locked door and a man who holds the key.

Removing What Obstructs

Here is the turning point of the whole volume. The person who understands the Absolute and the monad does not practice in order to *become* something he or she is not. That would be impossible. One cannot add to the Infinite. One practices in order to *remove the obstructions* that keep the already-present Reality from expressing itself on the plane of effects. These obstructions are false beliefs, collective suggestions, and personal conditioning.

The sculptor Michelangelo is credited with saying that the statue already lives within the marble and his task is only to remove what does not belong. Whether or not he said it, the image holds. Spiritual practice is not construction. It is *revelation*. The wholeness is already there. The work is to chip away the stone.

Two Errors Corrected

This understanding protects the practitioner from two opposite errors.

The first error is *reduction*: treating mental science as nothing more than positive thinking, motivational self-talk, or psychological self-help. Positive thinking is a mood. The Law of Mind is a structure of reality. One can be in a cheerful mood and still hold, beneath it, a granite conviction of lack. The Law answers the granite, not the mood.

The second error is *inflation*: assuming that once metaphysical knowledge is attained, practical technique becomes unnecessary. This is the scholar who knows everything about swimming and

drowns in the shallow end. Knowledge of the ocean does not keep one afloat. Practice does.

Both errors arise from separating what this work joins: the cosmic and philosophical vision of *The Secret Doctrine* and the usable creative principle articulated by Holmes.

The Law of Mind is not a discovery of the twentieth century. It is the oldest recognition of the human race: that consciousness is creative. It was carved into the Upanishads, whispered in the mystery schools, and spoken plainly in the Gospels: "According to your faith be it unto you." Holmes restated it in language a modern person could use on Monday morning. That is his gift, and it is a great one.

The Ancient Witnesses

The claim that thought is creative did not begin with Holmes, nor with Blavatsky. It runs like a golden thread through the scriptures and philosophies of nearly every civilization that has left a record of its inner life.

In India, the sages of the Upanishads taught that the whole visible world is a projection of consciousness, and that the Self within each being is identical with Brahman, the Self of all. "As is a man's desire, so is his will; as is his will, so is his deed; as is his deed, so he becomes." That teaching, found in the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad, compresses the Law of Mind into a single breath. Desire becomes will, will becomes deed, and deed becomes destiny.

In the teaching of the Buddha, the opening verses of the Dhammapada declare that mind precedes all things, that mind is their chief, and that they are mind-made. The Buddha did not teach a creator God in the Western sense, and yet he placed the mind at the very source of experience. Suffering arises from the patterns of mind. Liberation arises from their transformation.

In China, the sages of the Tao spoke of a Way that cannot be named, flowing through all things, and taught that the person who aligns with that Way acts without strain and accomplishes without force. This is the same principle that Holmes would later call cooperating with the Law, seen through the lens of a different culture.

In the Hebrew scriptures, creation itself begins with a word: "And God said, Let there be light: and there was light." The universe is spoken into being. The creative act is first a movement of Mind expressed as Word, and only then a thing.

In Greece, the philosophers of the Stoic school taught that a divine Reason, the *Logos*, pervades the cosmos and that each human mind is a fragment of that universal Reason. Marcus Aurelius, emperor of Rome, wrote in his private notebooks that the soul takes on the color of its habitual thoughts.

In the Gospels, the teaching appears again and again, in different words and always with the same force. "According to your faith be it unto you." "If thou canst believe, all things are possible to him that believeth." "The kingdom of God is within you."

In the Hermetic tradition of Egypt and the late classical world, the first principle was simply this: "The All is Mind; the universe is mental." Whatever the historical origins of the texts that carry this teaching, the principle itself is among the oldest in the Western esoteric tradition.

These witnesses do not prove the Law of Mind. Antiquity is not proof. But their agreement is striking. Across vast distances of time, language, and culture, the deepest seers of humanity, looking inward with great discipline, reported the same discovery. Consciousness is not a byproduct of the world. The world is, in some profound sense, a product of consciousness.

What Holmes did, and what this volume seeks to continue, was to take that ancient discovery out of the temple and the monastery and place

it in the hands of ordinary people, as a principle that can be learned, practiced, and tested.

Why the Law Must Be Impersonal

Some students find the impersonality of the Law troubling at first. They want a God who listens, who cares, who responds personally to their need. A law seems cold by comparison.

But consider what a *personal* law would mean. If the creative response of the universe depended on the mood or preference of a deity, the universe would be capricious. Prayer would become a form of flattery or bargaining. The fortunate would be those who had somehow won divine favor, and the unfortunate would be those who had lost it. Religion would become an anxious attempt to read the mind of an unpredictable ruler.

The impersonality of the Law is what makes it *just*. It responds to the saint and the sinner by the same rule. It does not ask your nationality, your race, your denomination, or your past. It does not keep a list of favorites. It asks only one question: *What pattern are you presenting?* And it answers that pattern faithfully.

This does not mean the universe is without love. The metaphysics of the earlier volumes holds that the Absolute, expressing itself as Universal Mind, is the very source of love, beauty, and intelligence. But the love of the Infinite is not expressed through favoritism. It is expressed through the *gift of the Law itself*: the gift of a universe in which every being, without exception, holds the power to change its experience by changing its consciousness. Holmes taught that there is a personal response of Spirit, felt in communion and warmth, alongside the impersonal operation of Law. The two are not in conflict. The warmth is felt in the heart. The Law operates in the field of cause and effect. One is the Father's love; the other is the Father's faithfulness.

Think of the sun. It does not decide whom to warm. It shines equally on the garden of the generous and the garden of the miser. Is the sun therefore cold? On the contrary, its impartiality is the very form its generosity takes.

Three Levels of the One Mind

To use the Law well, it helps to see clearly how Mind operates at three levels. Holmes described these in his teaching, and the Theosophical tradition offers close correspondences.

The first level is Spirit, the self-knowing aspect of Mind. This is the conscious, choosing, initiating level of Reality. In the universal sense it is the Divine Intelligence that conceives the cosmos. In the individual it is the conscious mind, the part of you that is reading these words, weighing them, and deciding what to make of them. Spirit is where choice resides.

The second level is Soul, or the subjective, creative medium. This is the receptive, plastic, formative level of Mind. It does not choose. It receives and responds. In the universal sense it is the great creative medium through which the Divine Ideation takes form; Holmes called it the Universal Subjective, and the Theosophist might relate it to *Akasha* and to the astral light. In the individual it is the subjective mind, which is our personal use of the universal medium. Soul is where creation takes shape.

The third level is Body, or manifestation. This is the level of form, effect, and visible result. It includes the physical body, but also every circumstance, condition, and event of experience. Body has no power of its own to originate anything. It is always the effect, never the cause.

The order of these three is the whole secret. Spirit *chooses*. Soul *forms*. Body *appears*. Causation always flows from the first to the third, never the reverse. When a person tries to change their life by working directly on the third level alone, rearranging circumstances while

leaving consciousness untouched, they usually find that the old patterns soon reassert themselves in new forms. The man who leaves one bad job for another bad job, or the woman who leaves one painful relationship for another just like it, has changed the furniture without changing the architect.

The practitioner works at the first level, the level of choice, and presents a new pattern to the second level, the creative medium. The third level then changes of itself, as surely as a shadow changes when the object that casts it moves.

The Myth of the Neutral Thought

One of the most important implications of this chapter is easy to miss. If thought is causative, then *there is no such thing as a neutral thought.*

Most people assume that only their deliberate, focused intentions have creative power, and that the rest of their mental life, the endless background chatter of worry, judgment, comparison, and complaint, is harmless noise. This is a grave mistake.

The subjective mind does not distinguish between a thought spoken with ceremony and a thought muttered under the breath. It receives both. Indeed, the background chatter, because it is repeated so constantly and accepted so uncritically, often makes a deeper impression than the occasional deliberate affirmation. A person may spend ten minutes each morning affirming abundance and then spend the next sixteen hours rehearsing lack. The Law, impartially weighing the patterns presented, answers sixteen hours more strongly than ten minutes.

This is not a cause for anxiety. It is a cause for *attention*. The first step of conscious creation is not affirming something new. It is noticing what one is already affirming, constantly and unconsciously. The practice at the end of this chapter is designed for exactly that purpose.

Freedom Within Law

A final question naturally arises. If everything operates by law, where is freedom?

The answer is that freedom is found *through* law, not apart from it. The musician is free to play any melody precisely because the laws of harmony are reliable. The pilot is free to cross the ocean precisely because the laws of aerodynamics do not change in mid-flight. A world without law would not be a world of freedom. It would be a world of chaos, in which no intention could ever be fulfilled because nothing could be relied upon.

The Law of Mind is the foundation of human freedom. Because it is reliable, the individual can choose a new pattern with confidence that the choice will matter. Because it is impersonal, no external authority can withhold its operation. Because it is universal, it is available everywhere, in every circumstance, to every person.

In the Theosophical understanding, the monad evolves through the cycles of manifestation precisely by learning to use this freedom consciously. At first the soul is driven by karma it does not understand, reacting blindly to effects whose causes it cannot see. Gradually it awakens to the Law, recognizes its own role in setting causes, and begins to choose deliberately. At last it becomes a conscious co-worker with the cosmic process, its freedom and the Law united in a single will.

That journey, from unconscious creation to conscious creation, is the journey this volume describes. It begins with a single recognition: *I am already creating, with every thought I think. From this moment, I choose to create on purpose.*

The Law and the Lifetime: Karma in the Light of Mind

To appreciate fully what it means to call the Law of Mind "karma specialized to the level of self-conscious thought," we must look more closely at karma as Blavatsky understood it.

Karma is often misunderstood in the West as a kind of cosmic punishment system, in which the universe keeps a ledger of good and bad deeds and delivers rewards and penalties accordingly. Blavatsky rejected this view emphatically. Karma, she taught, is not punishment. It is *adjustment*. It is the tendency of nature to restore balance whenever balance has been disturbed. When a stone is thrown into a pond, the ripples spread outward until they reach the shore and return, and only when they have returned does the surface grow calm again. Karma is the returning ripple.

Seen this way, karma is not hostile. It is educational. Every effect that returns to us carries information about the cause we set in motion. Pain that comes back is not vengeance. It is feedback. It tells us, in the only language the personality cannot ignore, that something in our pattern of thought, feeling, or action was out of harmony with the Whole.

Now bring the Law of Mind into this picture. If karma operates on every plane, and if thought is the most subtle and most causative of the planes on which human beings act, then *thought is the primary field of karma*. Our deeds matter, but our deeds arise from our thoughts. Our circumstances matter, but our circumstances are shaped by the patterns held in mind. To work at the level of thought is to work at the root of karma rather than at its branches.

This is why the union of the two traditions is so powerful. Theosophy explains that we are bound by the consequences of past causes. Mental science explains how new causes can be set in motion deliberately, with full awareness. Together they reveal a path between

fatalism and fantasy. We are not helpless before our karma, and we cannot simply wish it away. We can *meet* it, understand it, and introduce new causes that redirect its course.

The Two Mistakes About Responsibility

Every teaching that affirms the creative power of thought faces a danger, and it is best to name it plainly at the outset.

The first mistake is to deny responsibility altogether. "My life is the way it is because of my parents, my circumstances, my society, my luck." There is real truth in this. Collective conditions and inherited patterns are powerful, as later chapters will show. But to stop there is to accept the role of a victim permanently. It is to hand the pen of one's life to others and then complain about the story they write.

The second mistake is to assume total and immediate responsibility for every condition, and to turn that responsibility into blame. "If I am sick, I must have thought wrongly. If I am poor, I must be spiritually deficient. If my child suffers, I must have failed." This is a cruel distortion of the teaching, and it has caused great harm. It ignores the many causes, personal, collective, and karmic, that meet in any human situation. It turns a law of liberation into a law of guilt.

The truth lies in a third position, which might be called *creative responsibility*. It says: "I may not have chosen every condition that confronts me. Many of them arose from causes I did not set and could not see. But I am responsible for how I meet them, and for the new causes I set in motion from this moment forward. That responsibility is not a burden. It is my power."

Creative responsibility looks forward, not backward. It asks not "Whose fault is this?" but "What can I plant now?" It frees the practitioner from both helplessness and guilt, and it places the full power of the Law exactly where it belongs: in the present choice of a conscious mind.

What Science Can and Cannot Say

Readers today often ask how the Law of Mind relates to modern science. The question deserves an honest answer.

Science has documented, with increasing care, that the mind affects the body in measurable ways. Stress hormones rise and fall with emotional states. Expectation shapes the experience of pain. The placebo effect, in which a person improves after receiving an inert treatment that they believe to be real, is so reliable that medical research must control for it in nearly every trial. Long-term meditation practice has been associated with changes in attention, emotional regulation, and the structure of the brain itself. Researchers in the field of psychoneuroimmunology study the links between mental states, the nervous system, and the immune response.

These findings are suggestive, and they are welcome. They show that the old wall between mind and body is far more porous than nineteenth-century materialism supposed. But it would be a mistake to claim that science has *proven* the metaphysics of this work. Science studies measurable effects within the physical world. The Law of Mind, as presented here, is a claim about the nature of Reality itself: that consciousness is primary and matter derivative. That is a philosophical claim, and it must be evaluated philosophically, as Volume I attempted to do, and experientially, as this volume invites the reader to do.

The wise student holds both truths. Science is not the enemy of spiritual practice. Many of its findings point in the same direction. But spiritual practice does not depend on science for its validity, any more than love depends on biochemistry for its reality. The final laboratory is one's own life, and the final evidence is the change that faithful practice produces there.

The Seed Already Planted

Before leaving this chapter, consider one more image, because it captures something easily forgotten.

Every human being arrives in this world with a field already partly planted. Inherited tendencies, family beliefs, cultural assumptions, and, in the Theosophical view, the karmic seeds of previous lives are already in the soil before the first conscious thought is formed. No one begins with a blank field.

This is not a cause for despair. It is simply the situation. The gardener who inherits a field does not curse the previous owner for the weeds. She notes what is growing, decides what she wants instead, and begins to plant. Some of the old growth will take seasons to clear. Some of it, surprisingly, will turn out to be valuable: hardy plants she would never have thought to choose, but which serve her well.

The same is true of the inner field. Some inherited patterns will need patient uprooting. Others, such as the resilience of ancestors who survived hardship, the faith of a grandmother, or the work ethic of a father, are treasures to be honored and cultivated. The practitioner does not reject the whole inheritance. The practitioner *chooses*, consciously and with discernment, what to keep and what to release.

That choosing is the beginning of conscious creation.

What Is Meant by "Cause"

The word *cause* appears on nearly every page of this volume, and it is worth pausing to say exactly what it means here, because ordinary usage can mislead.

In everyday speech, we say that the rain caused the flood, or that the virus caused the illness, or that the argument caused the divorce. These are what philosophers call *secondary causes*. They are real links in a chain of events, and they deserve to be taken seriously. The

engineer who ignores the rain will build a bridge that washes away. The physician who ignores the virus will fail the patient.

But secondary causes are not the whole story. Every secondary cause is itself an effect of something prior. Behind the rain is the climate; behind the climate, the movements of sun and sea; behind those, the laws of physics; and behind the laws of physics, the question that science itself cannot answer: *why is there anything at all, and why does it behave lawfully?* The metaphysics of Volume I answered that the ultimate ground of all causation is the Absolute, expressing itself as Universal Mind. That is *first cause*: not the first link in the chain, but the reality in which the whole chain exists.

When this volume says that thought is causative, it does not mean that thought replaces secondary causes. It means that thought operates at a level *prior to* them, at the level of the creative medium in which secondary causes themselves take shape. A treatment for health does not abolish the virus. It changes the consciousness within which the body meets the virus, and that change can alter how the whole chain of secondary causes unfolds.

This distinction protects the practitioner from two errors at once. It prevents the materialist error of believing that secondary causes are all there is, which leaves consciousness helpless before the machinery of events. And it prevents the magical error of believing that thought can simply ignore secondary causes, which leads to neglect of the practical wisdom that the situation requires. The practitioner works at the level of first cause *and* respects the level of secondary causes. Both are expressions of the one Mind.

Objections Answered

Any teaching as bold as this one invites serious objections. A teaching that cannot answer them is not worth following. The most common are taken up here, one by one.

"If thought is creative, why don't all my thoughts come true?"

Because the Law responds not to every passing thought but to the *dominant pattern*. Thoughts that are fleeting, contradicted by deeper beliefs, or held without conviction make little impression on the subjective mind. This is a mercy. If every passing fear became instantly real, life would be unbearable. The Law answers what is held deeply and consistently. This is why the practitioner's work is not to think a few good thoughts but to change the dominant patterns of consciousness, which takes time and steady practice.

"Why do good people suffer?"

This is the oldest question of the spiritual life, and no honest teaching pretends to answer it completely. But the metaphysics of this work offers several partial answers. Goodness of character does not by itself remove every limiting belief from the subjective mind; a kind person may still carry deep fears or inherited patterns. Collective conditions affect everyone, the good and the not-so-good alike. Karma set in motion long ago, in the Theosophical view even in previous lives, may still be completing its course. And the soul's evolution may call for experiences that the personality would never choose but that serve a growth the personality cannot yet see. None of this makes suffering less painful. But it means that suffering is never evidence that a person is bad, and never evidence that the Law has failed.

"Isn't this just magical thinking?"

Magical thinking, in the sense psychologists use the term, is the belief that one's thoughts can directly cause events in the world without any intervening mechanism, as when a child believes that wishing harm on someone caused them to fall ill. The teaching of this volume differs in three ways. First, it rests on a worked-out metaphysics in which consciousness is primary and thought operates within a lawful creative medium. Second, it does not claim that thought bypasses secondary causes, but that it works through them. Third, it insists on testing: the practitioner is asked not to believe but to practice, observe, and judge by results. Whether one finally accepts the

metaphysics is a matter for each reader. But it is not magical thinking in the careless sense.

"What about other people's free will?"

The Law of Mind does not override the free will of other people. A practitioner can change their own consciousness and can hold a realization of good for another, but cannot compel another person to think, feel, or act against their own choice. This is why treatment never seeks to control others. It is also why some treatments involving other people unfold differently than expected: every person involved is a free center of the one Mind, contributing their own patterns to the outcome.

"Doesn't this teaching encourage selfishness?"

It can, when it is misunderstood. A person who uses mental science only to acquire possessions or advantages for themselves has grasped the technique and missed the meaning. But the full teaching points in exactly the opposite direction. Because there is one Mind, the good of each is bound up with the good of all. The practitioner who understands this finds that personal practice naturally widens into service. Chapter 9 is devoted to this theme.

"If everything is Mind, why does the physical world seem so solid?"

Because it *is* solid, at its own level. The teaching does not claim that the physical world is unreal in the sense of being imaginary. It claims that the physical world is a real expression of Mind, as solid as ice is solid, and yet, like ice, a form of something more fundamental. The solidity of the world is part of its reliability, and its reliability is part of the gift of Law. A world that dissolved whenever one doubted it would be no world at all.

The Testimony of Experience

Philosophy can establish that the Law of Mind is coherent. Only experience can establish that it is true in a particular life.

Over the past century and more, a great many people have practiced the methods described in this volume and have reported changes in their lives: healing of body and mind, transformation of circumstances, deepened peace, and a new sense of meaning. Such reports are not scientific proof. They are subject to all the limitations of personal testimony. Some may be exaggerated. Some may have other explanations. But their sheer number, their consistency across cultures and generations, and the sobriety of many who offer them, deserve respect.

More important than the testimony of others, however, is the testimony that each reader can gather for themselves. This volume asks the reader to become, in effect, a researcher in the laboratory of their own consciousness. Keep careful records. Note the treatments given and the results observed. Note also the cases where nothing seemed to change, and look honestly for the reasons. Over months and years, a body of personal evidence will accumulate. That evidence, more than any argument in these pages, will settle the question.

The Law as Mirror

One of the most useful ways to understand the Law of Mind is to think of it as a mirror.

A mirror does not judge what stands before it. It does not flatter the handsome or mock the plain. It simply reflects, with perfect accuracy, whatever is presented. If a person frowns into a mirror, the mirror frowns back. If they smile, it smiles. No amount of scolding the mirror will change the reflection. Only a change in the one who stands before it will do that.

Life, in this understanding, is a mirror of consciousness. The circumstances, relationships, and conditions that appear in a person's experience reflect, in large measure, the patterns held in their mind. This is not a mechanical one-to-one correspondence;

collective conditions, karma, and the free choices of others also shape what appears. But over time and in general, the outer life tends to mirror the inner.

This understanding offers a powerful tool for self-knowledge. When the practitioner wants to know what patterns they are holding, they can look at their life. Recurring situations are especially revealing. The person who keeps encountering dishonest people might ask whether some pattern of distrust, or some tolerance of dishonesty within themselves, is being reflected. The person who keeps finding themselves overlooked might ask whether they have been overlooking themselves. These questions are asked not in self-blame but in curiosity, as a scientist examines data.

And the mirror offers hope. Because it reflects faithfully, a change in consciousness will be reflected faithfully too. The person who learns to value themselves will begin, in time, to see that value reflected back by the world. The person who learns to trust the abundance of Mind will begin to see that abundance appear. The mirror does not resist change. It simply waits for the one who stands before it to change first.

A Guided Meditation on the Law

The following meditation is offered as a way of moving the understanding of this chapter from the head into the heart. Read it slowly, or record it in your own voice and listen with eyes closed.

Sit comfortably and let your breath slow. Feel the weight of your body resting on the chair, the floor beneath your feet, the air on your skin.

Now bring to mind the vastness of the universe. Imagine the earth, turning slowly in space. Imagine the sun, the planets, the stars beyond counting. Imagine galaxies, each containing billions of suns, scattered across distances the mind cannot hold. All of this is held within one Mind. All of it moves according to law, with perfect order.

Now bring your attention closer. Feel your own body: the beating of your heart, the movement of your breath, the warmth of your hands. This, too, is held within the one Mind. This, too, moves according to law. The same intelligence that turns the galaxies beats your heart.

Now notice your thoughts. Watch them arise and pass. Each thought is a movement within the one Mind. Each thought is a seed, falling into creative soil. You have been planting all your life. Feel the weight and the dignity of this. You are not a spectator in the universe. You are a creator within it.

Now choose a single thought to plant: a thought of what you most deeply desire for your life. Let it be simple and true. Perhaps I am whole. *Perhaps* I am at peace. *Perhaps* I am loved. *Hold it gently. Feel it as though it were already true. Let it sink, like a seed, into the soil of the one Mind.*

Now release it. You do not need to hold onto it. The soil has received it. The Law will do its work.

Rest for a few moments in the stillness. When you are ready, open your eyes, and carry the seed with you into your day.

The Dignity of Being a Creator

There is something profoundly dignifying in the recognition that one is a creator. Much of modern life tends to reduce the human being to a consumer, a statistic, a cog in vast economic and social machines. People feel small, powerless, and replaceable. They feel that life happens *to* them, and that their choices make little difference.

The teaching of this chapter restores the dignity that this reduction obscures. Every human being, regardless of wealth, status, education, or circumstance, is a center of the creative Mind of the universe. Every human being shapes their experience through the patterns they hold. Every human being, in every moment, is planting seeds whose harvest will matter.

This dignity belongs equally to all. The prisoner in a cell, the patient in a hospital bed, the worker in a factory, the elderly person in a

nursing home, and the child in a classroom all possess the same creative capacity as the most powerful leader on earth. Their outer circumstances may be severely limited. Their inner freedom to choose the patterns they hold is not. And from that inner freedom, as countless lives have shown, extraordinary transformations can grow.

Those who have endured great suffering have sometimes spoken of discovering, in the depths of their circumstances, a freedom that no external force could take from them: the freedom to choose their own attitude. That freedom is the seed of the creative power this volume describes. It cannot be confiscated. It can only be forgotten. And it can be remembered at any moment.

The First Step Is Noticing

Everything in this chapter leads to a single, simple, and demanding first step: *noticing*.

Before a person can choose their thoughts, they must see them. Before they can change a pattern, they must recognize it as a pattern. Most human beings live inside their thoughts the way a fish lives inside water, so completely immersed that they never notice the medium in which they swim. Their thoughts do not seem like thoughts. They seem like reality.

The moment of noticing changes this. When a person catches a thought and recognizes it *as* a thought, *I am thinking that there is never enough*, a small space opens between the thinker and the thought. In that space, freedom lives. The thought is no longer an unquestioned fact. It is an object that can be examined, questioned, and, if it proves false, released.

The ancient contemplative traditions called this capacity *witnessing*: the ability to observe the movements of one's own mind without being swept away by them. It is the foundation of every other practice. Treatment, denial, affirmation, and meditation all depend on it. A practitioner who cannot notice their thoughts cannot change them.

Witnessing is developed like any other capacity, through practice. At first, the practitioner notices their thoughts only occasionally, usually long after a pattern has run its course. With practice, they begin to notice sooner, sometimes in the middle of a pattern, and eventually sometimes at its very beginning, before it has gathered force. Each improvement in noticing is an increase in freedom.

This is why the practice for this chapter is not an affirmation or a treatment but an audit: a simple exercise in noticing. Before anything new can be planted, the gardener must walk the field and see what is already growing.

A Summary of the Principle

For the reader who wishes to carry the argument of this chapter in a compact form, it may be stated in seven propositions:

1. There is one ultimate Reality, the Absolute, beyond all definition.
2. Universal Mind is the creative aspect of that Reality, operating through unchanging law.
3. The individual mind is a center within Universal Mind, not a separate thing outside it.
4. Therefore the activity of the individual mind is an activity within the creative medium itself.
5. Therefore thought is causative, and the Law responds to the dominant patterns held in mind.
6. The Law of Mind is karma specialized to the level of self-conscious thought: impersonal, impartial, and reliable.
7. Therefore every person is always creating, and the spiritual life begins with the decision to create consciously.

Every chapter that follows is an unfolding of these seven propositions into practice.

Law and Grace

A thoughtful reader may ask: if everything operates by law, is there any room for grace? Is the universe nothing but an enormous machine of cause and effect, in which every good must be earned by correct mental technique?

The question is important, because a teaching that left no room for grace would be cold and, in the end, untrue to the experience of countless people who have received good they did nothing to deserve.

The answer of this work is that law and grace are not opposites. Grace is the *givenness* of the whole system. The Law itself is a gift. No one earned the existence of a universe in which consciousness is creative. No one earned their own existence as a center of the one Mind. No one earned the breath they are drawing now, the sun that warms them, or the love that first held them as infants. All of this was simply given. That givenness is grace.

Grace also appears in the way the Law operates. The Law responds to the dominant pattern, and the dominant pattern of the universe itself is wholeness. Beneath every distortion, the deep tendency of life is toward healing, balance, and growth. A cut heals. A forest regrows after fire. A heart broken by grief, in time, learns to love again. This tendency is not earned by anyone. It is the nature of the one Life, flowing toward expression wherever it is not obstructed. When a person ceases to obstruct it, even slightly, the good that pours through can feel overwhelming, far out of proportion to the effort made. That disproportion is grace.

Holmes spoke of grace as the givingness of Spirit, always present, always flowing. Blavatsky, for all her emphasis on karma, also taught that the compassion of the higher beings and the inherent tendency of evolution toward the good are constant influences on the soul's journey. In both streams, the Law is not a cold mechanism standing between the soul and God. It is the very form that divine generosity takes.

The practitioner, then, need not feel that every good must be wrestled from the universe by perfect technique. The good is already given, already flowing. The practitioner's work is simply to stop obstructing it, to open, to receive, and to give thanks. Practice is not earning grace. Practice is making room for it.

The Law in Small Things

It would be easy to think of the Law of Mind only in connection with large matters: serious illness, financial crisis, life-changing decisions. But the Law operates equally in small things, and the small things are where the practitioner can most easily observe it.

Notice how a morning that begins with irritation tends to bring more irritations. Notice how a day begun with gratitude tends to unfold more smoothly. Notice how expecting a meeting to go badly seems to make it go badly, and how approaching it with goodwill seems to soften it. Notice how a person who expects to find a parking place often finds one, while the person who expects to circle for an hour often does.

These small observations are not proof of anything in a scientific sense. Some of them may be explained by ordinary psychology: a person in a good mood notices good things and behaves in ways that elicit good responses. But that is precisely the point. Whether one explains it in psychological or metaphysical terms, the inner state shapes the outer experience. The small things provide a daily laboratory in which the practitioner can watch the principle at work and build confidence in it.

Confidence built in small things carries over to large ones. The practitioner who has seen the Law operate a hundred times in the details of daily life will approach a serious challenge with far greater faith than one who has only read about it. Begin small. Watch carefully. Let the evidence accumulate.

The Practice: The Thought Audit

For one full day, become a quiet witness of your own mind. Each time you catch a thought of limitation, such as *I can't*, *there's never enough*, *this always happens to me*, or *I'm too old for that*, make a small mark on a card you carry in your pocket. Do not judge yourself. Do not try to change anything yet. Simply count.

At the end of the day, look at the card. Every mark is a seed planted in creative soil. Most people are astonished. They discover that they have been treating themselves for limitation, faithfully and without knowing it, dozens of times a day.

Then ask the decisive question: *If the Law answers every one of these, what have I been building?* And then the liberating one: *What will I plant tomorrow instead?*

Questions for Reflection

1. Before reading this chapter, did you think of your thoughts as causes or as reactions? What has changed?
2. Which of the ancient witnesses speaks most powerfully to you, and why?
3. Where in your life have you been trying to change effects without changing causes?
4. How do you respond to the idea that the Law is impersonal? Does it feel cold, or does it feel trustworthy?
5. What did your Thought Audit reveal? What seeds have you been planting without knowing it?

For Contemplation

I do not live in a universe of chance. I live within a Mind that answers. Every thought I think is a seed planted in creative soil. Today I choose my seeds with care.

CONTINUE THE JOURNEY

The Metaphysics of Creative Consciousness

Three volumes. A philosophy of mind, and a practice for daily life.

Volume I: The Ground of Being
Volume II: The Evolution of Consciousness
Volume III: The Practice of Creative Consciousness

by Robert Miller and Michael Cugliandro

Read all three opening chapters free at

ipcnews.org · ipc.asia · ipc.international

orders@ipcnews.org

International Publishing Corporation

Washington, D.C.

© 2026 Robert Miller and Michael Cugliandro. All rights reserved.